Abstract 5834

Evaluation of antimicrobial susceptibility testing assays for ceftazidime-avibactam and ceftolozane-tazobactam with Gram-negative bacteria directly from positive blood culture on the Pheno system

Niels Oppermann¹, Anyangatia Ndobegang¹, Aaron Sikorski¹, Aimee Taku¹, Dulini Gamage¹, Christina Chantell¹, Romney Humphries*¹

¹Accelerate Diagnostics, Inc., Tucson, United States

Background: New antibiotics recently FDA-approved for multidrug-resistant organisms include: ceftolozane-tazobactam (C/T), a compound with activity against multidrug-resistant *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and ceftazidime-avibactam (CZA), a beta-lactam/beta-lactamase inhibitor compound with activity against class A and D carbapenemase-producing organisms. AST performance for these compounds with Enterobacterales and *P. aeruginosa* directly from positive blood culture (PBC) was evaluated using the Accelerate Pheno™ system, compared to broth microdilution (BMD).

Materials/methods: 294 clinical isolates [100 P. aeruginosa, 82 Klebsiella spp., 56 E. coli, 24 Citrobacter spp., 14 Enterobacter spp., 15 Proteus spp. and 3 S. marcescens] were tested with CZA and C/T. Aliquots of BD BACTEC™ Standard Aerobic media containing healthy donor blood were seeded with 10-100 bacterial cells and incubated until positivity. PBC aliquots were run using the Accelerate PhenoTest™ BC kit on the Accelerate Pheno™ system according to manufacturer instructions for use and results compared to BMD. Only samples with valid results from both methods were included in analysis. Essential agreement [EA], categorical agreement [CA], very major error (VME) and major error (ME) rates were calculated using EUCAST 2019 breakpoints.

Results: EA/CA for all antimicrobial/organism combinations were >90%. CZA had 1 VME and 6 ME. CZA does not have an intermediate range with *P. aeruqinosa* and Enterobacterales, and 3 of 6 CZA ME were in EA. For C/T, there were 2 VME and 2 ME.

Conclusions: Results demonstrate the tests for CZA and C/T on the Accelerate PhenoTest™ BC kit are good. A limitation of this study is only 27 CZA-resistant organisms were tested. Further work will evaluate a larger number of resistant isolates.

| | Ceftazidime-Avibactam | | | Ceftolozane-Tazobactam | | |
|-----|-----------------------|---------------|-------------|------------------------|---------------|-------------|
| | Enterics | P. aeruginosa | Overall | Enterics | P. aeruginosa | Overall |
| n | 186 | 86 | 272 | 175 | 92 | 267 |
| EA | 185 (99.5%) | 83 (96.5%) | 268 (98.5%) | 173 (98.9%) | 87 (94.6%) | 260 (97.4%) |
| CA | 184 (98.9%) | 81 (94.2%) | 265 (97.4%) | 173 (98.9%) | 90 (97.8%) | 263 (98.5%) |
| VME | 1 (7.7%) | 0 | 1 (3.7%) | 2 (2.7%) | 0 | 2 (2.1 %) |
| ME | 1 (0.6%) | 5 (6.9%) | 6 (2.4%) | 0 | 2 (2.8%) | 2 (1.2%) |
| S | 173 | 72 | 245 | 102 | 71 | 173 |
| R | 13 | 14 | 27 | 73 | 21 | 94 |

Presenter email address: rhumphries@axdx.com

